

Dear Inigo,  
Dear Michael,

Having a look at your remarks I would like to propose the following rewording:

For para part V data management page 93 para9: say "For example, for small facilities... and regional authorities **can be** the competent bodies..."

For page 94 para 13 say: "Parties can choose to provide an electronic tool for submitting the facility level data or letting software vendors develop commercial solutions that deliver the data in the required format. The recent reporting to European Pollutant Emission Register (EPER) is an example of this. **A steady increasing number of countries have developed their system for data reporting and transfer from the industry to the competent authorities.** (Delete: All facilities in Austria have submitted their data by using electronic means. Also the data transfer used in Finland, Italy and Portugal was mainly electronic.) The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), responsible for the Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) also gives guidance on table and data formats to software vendors to develop third party solutions.

For part VI page 105 para 9 say: "In addition, it is recommended to provide at least basic information of interest to the international community in an internationally widespread language such as English." and keep the footnote referring to the recommendation adopted at Almaty.

Hope this could help us,

kind regards,

Michel Amand