Informal document No. **GRE-55-5** (55<sup>th</sup> GRE, 3-7 October 2005, agenda item 8.)

## DRAFT PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION No. 6

(Direction indicators)

Transmitted by the experts from GTB / OICA

<u>Note</u>: The text reproduced below was prepared as a consolidated proposal by the experts from GTB and OICA in order to insert into Regulation No. 6 additional provisions which would cover the case of direction indicator lamps incorporating more than one light source. The modifications to the current text of the Regulation are marked in **bold** characters.

## A. PROPOSAL

Insert a new paragraph 2.2.4., to read:

"2.2.4. For a direction indicator lamp equipped with more than one light source (including those specified in paragraph (i) of footnote 3/to paragraph 6.1. of this Regulation), information regarding the activation criteria for the operating tell-tale (see paragraph 6.5.8. of Regulation No. 48);"

Paragraph 2.2.4. (former), renumber as paragraph 2.2.5.

<u>Paragraph 6.1.</u>, footnote <u>3</u>/, delete paragraph (ii) and renumber the former paragraph (iii) as paragraph (ii).

Annex 2, item 9., add at the end:

"For direction indicator lamps equipped with more than one light source: Activation criteria for the operating tell-tale: ......"

## **B. JUSTIFICATION**

The amendments to paragraph 2.2.4. and Annex 2 are a consequence of the amendments to Regulation No. 48 proposed by GTB and OICA, regarding tell-tale activation for direction indicator lamps with more than one light source.

The amendment of footnote  $\underline{3}$ / to paragraph 6.1., introduced by Supplement 10 to the 01 series of amendments, was intended to deal with the problem of direction indicator lamps incorporating more than one light source. However, the wording of item (ii) only addresses the case of two light sources.

It has become evident in the meantime that such designs are very rare in actual practice. On the other hand, the amendments proposed by GTB/OICA concerning paragraph 6.5.8. in Regulation No. 48 offer an opportunity to deal with this issue in a more general manner. For reasons of

coherence it is therefore proposed to delete item (ii) in footnote  $\underline{3}$ / and to align the administrative provisions.

If necessary, transitional provisions will have to be added to the proposal, using the guidelines proposed in WP.29 (TRANS/WP.29/2005/52).

. - - - -