Informal document No. **GRB-45-4** (45th GRB, 20-22 February 2007 agenda item 8.1.)

Noise abatement: costs and benefits

Transmitted by the expert from the Netherlands





NL Institute for Health and Environment

HEALTH

Noise second issue on their health damage ranking Loss of healthy life years: 30.000 each year

Source reduction is health improvement.

Health improvement means more working days and less costs for health care:

Benefit 150-500 mln euro each year.





NL Institute for Health and Environment (2)

Noise: reduction to allowable levels

Financial Benefit:

ESTATE VALUE along roads: 7,3 bln euro

SPATIAL PLANNING : 1.7 bln euro

(Suitable for building homes)



NL Noise Innovation Program

Along our highway system

Each dB source reduction = 100 mln euro less for barriers and isolation.

Along regional and city roads
I only know it's much more than 100 mln



Are we unique?

No: rember the UK studies presented here

No: take a look at the FEHRL study



FEHRL study, conclusions

FEHRL's conclusions are:

- 1. The present limits will achieve little if any environmental benefit. (This includes a slight tightening of the limits which is foreseen in the current directive).
- 2. Tighter limits do not imply less safety; no such relationship was found.
- 3. Less noise production does not imply more energy consumption (rolling resistance).





FEHRL study, conclusions (2)

- 4. Noise limit values for tyres can be reduced by 1 − 5 dB(A) (without any consequences for safety or energy consumption).
- 5. Abolish allowances (-1,5 dB(A))
- 6. Noise emission by road traffic can be reduced by 2 3 dB(A)
- 7. The estimated financial benefits for the European public lies within the range of 48 to 123 billion euros.



Which costs?

We tested hundreds of tyres for our national stimulation program.

No relationship between noise and cost was found



Thank You