EEVC WG12
Rear Impact Biofidelity Evaluation Programme

Presented by David Hynd

Chairman, EEVC WG20

Introduction

- EEVC WG20 formed in 2003 to develop test procedures for rear impacts
  - Prime focus on neck injury reduction
- EEVC WG12 to recommend dummies, injury criteria and injury risk functions for WG20 test procedures
  - Based on biomechanical evidence

EEVC WG12 - Dummy Issues

WG12 will make recommendations on

- **Selection of a dummy**
  - **With appropriate biofidelity in low-speed rear impact test conditions**
- **Injury criteria**
  - **With a biomechanical basis**
- **Injury risk functions**
  - **With a biomechanical basis**

EEVC WG12 Biofidelity Evaluation

- **Several dummies used in or proposed for low-speed rear impact test procedures**
  - **BioRID II, RID^{3D}, Hybrid III**
  - **Most have been evaluated in certain test conditions, but…**
  - **… No consistent evaluation of the latest versions of each dummy across a range of test conditions**
- **WG12 have selected a range of biofidelity test conditions to**
  - **Evaluate the BioRID II, RID^{3D} and Hybrid III dummies**
    - **BioRID II and RID^{3D} included as purpose-designed rear impact dummies**
    - **Hybrid III included as proposed in rear impact GTR**

Biofidelity Test Conditions

- **Rear impact biofidelity requirements chosen, based on**
  - **The availability of the full data set**
  - **Quality of the test set-up and instrumentation**
  - **Reproducibility**
  - **Relevance of the test conditions, loading condition and velocity change**
  - **Distribution of subject anthropometry, gender and age**
  - **The number of tests and test subjects**

- **Biofidelity requirements**
  - **4 based on volunteer data**
  - **1 based on PMHS data**

Biofidelity Test Conditions

AZT/Chalmers volunteer tests





TRL volunteer tests

Biofidelity Test Conditions



JARI volunteer tests

GDV/Allianz volunteer tests

LAB PMHS tests



Biofidelity Requirements

- **Most relevant criteria prioritised**
  - **E.g. head angle, T1 angle, head CoG displacement…**
- **New target corridors developed using a standardised method**
  - **EEVC WG9 method**
  - **Mean ± 1 std dev**
  - **Straight line approximation for tabulation**



LAB - head angle wrt T1 co-ordinate system

Biofidelity Analysis

- **Subjective analysis**
  - Performance with respect to target corridors
  - Influence of seat type and relevance to real-world seat testing

- **Objective analysis**
  - CORA analysis - goodness of fit of each dummy response to each mean PMHS or volunteer response
    - Algorithm developed by PDB
    - Score 1 if entirely within inner corridor (mean human ±1 std dev)
    - Score 0 if entirely outside outer corridor (mean ±2 std dev)
    - Linear aggregation between these limits

Biofidelity Results

- **Some typical results…**



LAB test results - head CoG x-axis displacement w.r.t. the sled - PMHS, no head restraint

Biofidelity Results

- **Some typical results…**



JARI test results - head rotation w.r.t. T1 - volunteer, no head restraint

Biofidelity Results

- **Some typical results…**



Chalmers/AZT test - T1 angle w.r.t. the sled

Biofidelity Results

- **Some typical results…**



Chalmers/AZT test - Head rotation w.r.t. the sled

Biofidelity Results

- **Biofidelity - Hybrid III**
  - **Head motion w.r.t. T1 not biofidelic**
  - **Head rotation good in some seats, poor in others - biofidelity seat dependent**
  - **T1 rotation generally not biofidelic**
  - **Head acceleration poor**
  - **Seat back interaction least humanlike**
  - **Head restraint interaction least humanlike - contact force too low**

Biofidelity Results

- **Biofidelity - RID^{3D}**
  - Biofidelity better at higher test severity
  - Not as able to accommodate different seat structures as BioRID and seat back interaction not as good as BioRID
  - Head restraint interaction comparable to BioRID II
- **Biofidelity - BioRID II**
  - Best overall biofidelity, although z displacements not good (nor for Hybrid III nor RID$^{3D)}$
  - Head restraint interaction comparable to RID^{3D}
  - Seat back interaction most humanlike

Biofidelity Results

- **Objective CORA analysis**

Parameter	RID^{3D}	Hybrid III	BioRID II
T1 angle w.r.t. the sled	0.55	0.38	0.77
T1 x-axis displacement	0.53	0.50	0.47
T1 x-axis acceleration	0.56	0.48	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. T1	0.45	0.28	0.59
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. T1	0.49	0.50	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. the sled	0.49	0.29	0.62
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. the sled	0.62	0.43	0.46
Overall	**0.53**	**0.41**	**0.59**

Biofidelity Results

- **Objective CORA analysis**

Parameter	RID^{3D}	Hybrid III	BioRID II
T1 angle w.r.t. the sled	0.55	0.38	0.77
T1 x-axis displacement	0.53	0.50	0.47
T1 x-axis acceleration	0.56	0.48	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. T1	0.45	0.28	0.59
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. T1	0.49	0.50	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. the sled	0.49	0.29	0.62
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. the sled	0.62	0.43	0.46
Overall	**0.53**	**0.41**	**0.59**

Biofidelity Results

- **Objective CORA analysis**

Parameter	RID^{3D}	Hybrid III	BioRID II
T1 angle w.r.t. the sled	0.55	0.38	0.77
T1 x-axis displacement	0.53	0.50	0.47
T1 x-axis acceleration	0.56	0.48	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. T1	0.45	0.28	0.59
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. T1	0.49	0.50	0.60
Head rotation w.r.t. the sled	0.49	0.29	0.62
Head C of G x-axis displacement w.r.t. the sled	0.62	0.43	0.46
Overall	**0.53**	**0.41**	**0.59**

Conclusions

- Hybrid III, RID^{3D} and BioRID II successfully evaluated in five biofidelity test conditions
- Hybrid III had insufficient biofidelity to be considered further as a test tool for low-speed rear impact
- For many parameters, RID^{3D} and BioRID II were similarly biofidelic wrt target corridors
  - Subjectively, BioRID slightly better
  - Objectively (CORA analysis) BioRID scored higher (0.59) than RID^{3D} (0.53) - average of seven parameters from five test conditions
- BioRID showed better seat back and head restraint interaction

Conclusions

- **Overall, recommend that based on the currently available biofidelity data, BioRID II is the most suitable dummy for use in a low-speed rear impact test procedure**
  - **Scope for improvement of T1 vertical motion**
- **Repeatability and reproducibility evaluation underway**
  - **Testing complete**
  - **Analysis available soon**

End of Presentation

Presented by David Hynd

Chairman, EEVC WG20

www.eevc.org