



**Economic and Social
Council**

Distr.
GENERAL

ECE/TRANS/WP.11/2008/8
25 July 2008

Original: ENGLISH

ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs

Sixty-fourth session
Geneva, 14-17 October 2008
Item 5 (b) of the provisional agenda

**PROPOSALS OF AMENDMENTS TO THE AGREEMENT ON THE INTERNATIONAL
CARRIAGE OF PERISHABLE FOODSTUFFS AND ON THE SPECIAL EQUIPMENT TO BE
USED FOR SUCH CARRIAGE (ATP)**

New proposals

Proposal to amend paragraph 49 of Annex 1, Appendix 2 with regard to the inspection carried
out by appointed experts*

Transmitted by the Government of Sweden

SUMMARY

Executive summary:	Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 49 of the ATP does not require that the particulars as described in paragraph 29 must also be taken into account when verifying the efficiency of thermal appliances of equipment in service.
Action to be taken:	Amend the introductory text of paragraph 49.
Related documents:	None.

* The present document is submitted in accordance with the Programme of Work for 2008-2012 of the Inland Transport Committee (ECE/TRANS/2008/11, Item 2.11 (a)) which calls for the "Consideration of amendment proposals to ATP to ensure it is updated as necessary".

Introduction

1. Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 49 of the ATP does not require that the particulars as described in paragraph 29 must also be taken into account when verifying the efficiency of the thermal appliances of equipment in service.

Proposal

2. Amend the introductory text of paragraph 49 to read as follows. New text is underlined.

“Verifying the efficiency of thermal appliances of equipment in service

49. To verify as prescribed in appendix 1, paragraphs 1 (b) and 1 (c), to this annex the efficiency of the thermal appliance of each item of refrigerated, mechanically refrigerated or heated equipment in service, the competent authorities may:

Apply the methods described in paragraphs 32 to 47 of this appendix; or

Appoint experts to apply the particulars described in paragraph 29 (a) [and (b)] as well as the following provisions:

(Remaining text unchanged)”

Justification

3. In the opinion of the Government of Sweden, it is essential that requirements shall always be applied by all Contracting Parties in a harmonized way. Testing laboratories and appointed experts shall be certain of the extent of items to be applied during a renewal ATP test after 6, 9, etc. years.

4. By amending paragraph 49 as proposed by Sweden no doubts should remain.

Simplification

5. The introduction of the amendment to paragraph 49 will simplify the work of the competent authorities and their testing agencies.

Feasibility

6. The amendment proposed is expected to improve practical life.

Enforceability

7. No problem of enforcement is foreseen.
