ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE

INLAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE

Working Party on the Transport of Perishable Foodstuffs

Sixty-fourth session Geneva, 14-17 October 2008 Item 5 (a) of the provisional agenda

PROPOSALS OF AMENDMENTS TO THE ATP

Annex 1, Appendix 2, paragraph 49

Transmitted by the Netherlands

Introduction:

During the discussions in the 63rd session of WP.11 (November 2007) about the allowed test durations for equipment with thermal appliances it was suggested to find a compromise by taking the mean/average of the durations as proposed in the tables in 2007/10 & INF 6 (Netherlands) and 2007/17 (France). A decision was not possible at that stage because this change would require national consultation.

In a meeting between the French and Dutch representatives in the summer of 2008 the table with test durations arrived at from the compromise was discussed and evaluated. As a result the duration for tests for Class A, D and B, E are in general shortened in relation to the French proposal 2007/17.

Included in this document is a reworded proposal for the text accompanying the table. This text is based on the proposal in document 2007/17 of France but with repetitive parts taken out and text added for dependent equipment.

Proposal:

Amend paragraph 49. Annex 1, Appendix 2 to read:

(b) Mechanically refrigerated equipment

(i) Independent equipment constructed after [DD MM YYYY]

It shall be verified that, when the outside temperature is not lower than +15 °C, the inside temperature of the empty equipment, *which has been previously brought to the outside temperature*, can be brought to the class temperature within a maximum period (in minutes), as prescribed in the table below.

Outside	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	°C
temperature																	
Class C, F	360	350	340	330	320	310	300	290	280	270	260	250	240	230	220	210	min
Class B, E	270	262	253	245	236	228	219	211	202	194	185	177	168	160	151	143	min
Class A, D	180	173	166	159	152	145	138	131	124	117	110	103	96	89	82	75	min

(ii) Independent equipment constructed before [DD MM YYYY+ one day] and dependent equipment

It shall be verified that, when the outside temperature is not lower than +15 °C, the inside temperature of the empty equipment, which has been previously brought to the outside temperature, can be brought within a maximum period of 6 hours:

In the case of equipment in classes A, B or C, to the minimum temperature, as prescribed in this annex;

In the case of equipment in classes D, E or F, to the limit temperature, as prescribed in this annex.

If the results are favourable, the equipment may be kept in service as mechanically refrigerated equipment of its initial class for a further period of not more than three years.

Justification:

The principle of the proposal of France to have a comparable test irrespective of the outside temperature is supported by the Netherlands. Based on actual "field tests" we are of the opinion that the allowed duration of the test should be extended in respect to those durations given in document 2007/17 of France. The reason for this is the wide variety of parameters of influence on the test such as changes of the ambient temperature during the test and solar radiation.

To come to a conclusion on the issue a compromise was suggested in the 2007 session of WP.11. Discussions between France and the Netherlands have led to a new proposal.

For ease of comparison the tables of the various proposals are given below:

Outside	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	°C
temperature																	
Class C, F	360	352	344	336	328	320	312	304	296	288	280	272	264	256	248	240	min
Class B, E	300	292	284	276	268	260	252	244	236	228	220	212	204	196	188	180	min
Class A, D	240	232	224	216	208	200	192	184	176	168	160	152	144	136	128	120	min

The table of document 2007/10 and INF 6 of the Netherlands

The table of document 2007/17 of France

Outside	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	°C
temperature																	
Class C, F	360	348	336	324	312	300	288	276	264	252	240	228	216	204	192	180	min
Class B, E	270	260	250	240	230	220	210	200	190	180	170	160	150	140	130	120	min
Class A, D	180	172	164	156	148	140	132	124	116	108	100	92	84	76	68	60	min

The table w		- 1110 41		480 4					10.00		, and		- /	r	r	-	r
Outside	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	°C
temperature																	
Class C, F	360	350	340	330	320	310	300	290	280	270	260	250	240	230	220	210	min
Class B, E	300	290	279	269	259	248	238	228	217	207	197	186	176	166	155	145	min
Class A, D	240	229	219	208	197	187	176	165	155	144	133	123	112	101	91	80	min

The table with the mean/average durations between 2007/10 & INF 6 and 2007/17

The table resulting from French /	Dutch meeting in the summer of	f 2008 (as proposed above)
The table resulting home renew,	2 atom mooting in the stander of	

Outside	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	°C
temperature																	
Class C, F	360	350	340	330	320	310	300	290	280	270	260	250	240	230	220	210	min
Class B, E	270	262	253	245	236	228	219	211	202	194	185	177	168	160	151	143	min
Class A, D	180	173	166	159	152	145	138	131	124	117	110	103	96	89	82	75	min

The proposal in document 2007/17 of France includes text which is repetitive. For this reason we include a reworded text in this document.

We are not in the position to accept the additional requirements for dependent equipment in the way it is added as an "informal proposal" at the end of the proposed amendments in document 2007/17. First we need to have sufficient proof that the test is not too stringent on this special category of equipment. The reworded text proposed in this document expresses the special status of dependent equipment.