

Transmitted by the expert from Japan

Informal Document No. GRE-61-04
(61st GRE, 30 March-3 April 2009
agenda item 4(d))

9 February 2009

Proposal for Corrigendum 1 to Supplement 2 to the 04 series of amendments to
Regulation No.48

The modifications to the current text of Regulation No.48 are marked in **bold** characters.

A. PROPOSAL

Paragraphs 5.10.2., amend to read:

"5.10.2. For the visibility of white light towards the rear, with the exception of reversing lamps **and white conspicuity markings fitted to the vehicle**, there must be no direct visibility of the apparent surface of a white lamp if viewed by an observer moving within Zone 2 in a transverse plane situated 25 m behind the vehicle (see Annex 4);"

B. JUSTIFICATION

The exception of white conspicuity markings was adopted by WP.29 at its 143rd session (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1064, para.49 document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2007/66). But it was deleted by mistake and adopted by WP.29 at its 146th session (ECE/TRANS/WP.29/1070, para.56 document ECE/TRANS/WP.29/2008/86). Therefore Japan is proposing replacing the exception of white conspicuity markings to the regulation.

- - - - -