

Economic Commission for Europe

Inland Transport Committee

Working Party on the Transport of Dangerous Goods

Ninety-second session

Geneva, 8–10 May 2012

Item 6(c) of the provisional agenda

**Proposals for amendments to Annexes A and B of ADR
new proposals**

25 April 2012

Amendments to 5.2.2.2.2 Specimen labels

Transmitted by the Government of Romania

1. The Romanian delegation wishes to ask the WP.15 to consider deleting the words “HAZARD”, in English, and “DANGER”, in French, in the headings preceding the labels in sub-paragraph 5.2.2.2.2 *Specimen labels*, as follows:

English	French
5.2.2.2.2 <i>Specimen labels</i>	5.2.2.2.2 <i>Modèles d'étiquettes</i>
CLASS 1 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 1,
CLASS 2 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 2,
CLASS 3 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 3
CLASS 4.1 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 4.1
CLASS 4.2 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 4.2
CLASS 4.3 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 4.3
CLASS 5.1 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 5.1
CLASS 5.2 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 5.2
CLASS 6.1 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 6.1
CLASS 6.2 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 6.2
CLASS 7 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 7
CLASS 8 HAZARD,	DANGER DE CLASSE 8
CLASS 9 HAZARD	DANGER DE CLASSE 9

2. The aim of this proposal is to harmonise ADR text with 5.2.2.2.2 in the UN Model Regulations, and to avoid the inappropriate interpretation that all danger labels for the same class point to the same hazard without any difference – e.g. dangers for classes 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3.

3. According to sub-section 2.1.1, ADR classes refer to dangerous goods and not to hazards.

4. Moreover, the heading of column (5) in Table A, Chapter 3.2 ADR only refers to “LABELS”.