Informal Group on GTR9 Phase2 (IG GTR9-PH2) 2nd Meeting

Re-examination of Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity

2. Estimation of Cost Reduction due to Tibia Fracture Mitigation GTR9-2-07r1

- Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity -

Injury Severity	16 YO and older	0-15 YO		Number of Fatal was derived from FARS(2009)
Fatal	3816	276		
Severe	11501	2357	~	Number of Severe and Minor was
Minor	31112	11399	4	derived from NASS-GES (2009)

NASS-GES data

	16 YO a	nd older	0-15 YO		
NASS-GES Variable: INJSEV	Case	Weighted case	Case	Weighted case	
No Injury (O)	6	776.4	1	15.6	
Possible Injury (C)	82	11669.3	25	5439.3	
Non-incapacitating Evident Injury (B)	801	19442.4	259	5959.8	Number for Minor Injury
Incapacitating Injury (A)	441	11501.4	89	2356.9	Number for Severe Injury
Fatal Injury (K)	84	2447.6	9	247.0	

JPN

Injury Severity	16 YO and older	0-15 YO
Fatal	1372	29
Severe	6730	1277
Minor	36517	8974

All of Japanese data were derived from ITARDA (2009)

Fatal: Died within 24 hours from accident

Severe: Injury that requires 30 days or more for cure Minor: injury that requires less than 30 days for cure

Discussion at 2nd IG GTR9-PH2 Meeting

Question raised

Does the number of pedestrians classified as "severe injury" involve MAIS1?

Answer

- Yes, PCDS database showed that it does include MAIS1 so the data shall be re-examined
- It was also found from the PCDS database that the number of pedestrians classified as "minor injury" does include MAIS 2+

Assumptions used for re-examination

- MAIS 1 = "Minor Injury"
- MAIS 2+ = "Severe Injury" + "Fatal Injury"
- The number of pedestrians classified as "Fatal Injury" is taken from FARS

Re-examination of Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity

US Revised Estimation

Number of Pedestrian by MAIS and INJSEV (NASS-PCDS, 16YO and older)

		Number of Pedestrian					
NASS-PCDS Variable: INJSEV	MAIS	MAIS	MAIS	MAIS	MAIS	MAIS	MAIS
	1	2	3	4	5	6	1
No Injury (O)	3	1	0	0	0	0	75.0%
Possible Injury (C)	64	20	5	2	0	0	70.3%
Non-incapacitating Evident Injury (B)	67	18	10	3	1	0	67.7%
Incapacitating Injury (A)	25	28	44	14	28	0	18.0%
Fatal Injury (K)	0	3	5	9	18	18	0.0%
Injured, severity unknown (U)	2	2	0	2	1	0	28.6%

REVISED relative to GTR9-2-07r1

Number of Pedestrian (NASS-GES, 16YO and older)

	NASS-GES Variable: INJSEV		Weighted case
	No Injury (O)	6	776
	Possible Injury (C)	82	11,669
X	Non-incapacitating Evident Injury (B)	801	19,442
	Incapacitating Injury (A)	441	11,501
	Fatal Injury (K)	84	2,448
	Injured, severity unknown (U)	8	1,392



	Number of Pedestrian		
NASS-PCDS Variable: INJSEV	Minor	Severe +	
	(MAIS1)	Fatal	
No Injury (O)	582	194	
Possible Injury (C)	8,203	3,466	
Non-incapacitating Evident Injury (B)	13,162	6,280	
Incapacitating Injury (A)	2,070	9,431	
Fatal Injury (K)	0	2,448	
Injured, severity unknown (U)	398	994	
SUM	24,415	22,813	

Number of Pedestrian by Injury Level

Injury Severity	16 YO and older	Definition
Fatal	3,816	number of fatality from FARS
Severe	18,997	subtract number of Fatal and Minor from total number of NASS-GES weighed data
Minor	24,415	number of MAIS1 pedestrian from NASS- GES weighed data

Comparison of Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity

Number of Pedestrians by Injury Level

Injury Severity	GTR9-2-07r1	Revised
Fatal	3,816	3,816
Severe	11,501	18,997
Minor	31,112	24,415

Number of pedestrians 16 YO and older

Ignoring MAIS 1 in "Severe/Fatal Injury" and MAIS 2+ in "Minor Injury" in GTR9-2-07r1 resulted in UNDERESTIMATION of "Severe Injury"

Estimation of Cost Reduction due to Tibia Fracture Mitigation REVISED relative to GTR9-2-07r1

- Number of Pedestrians with Tibia Fracture by Injury Severity -

Percentage of Tibia Fracture by Injury Severity

Injury Severity	With Fractu		Without Tibia Fracture (%)		
Seventy	US	JPN	US	JPN	
Fatal	32.7%	12.9%	67.3%	87.1%	
Severe	22.6%	19.4%	77.4%	80.6%	
Minor	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Number of Pedestrians by Injury Severity

Injury	16YO and older			
Severity	US	JPN		
Fatal	3,816	1,372		
Severe	18,997	6,730		
Minor	24,415	36,517		

US Fatal : FARS
US Non-fatal : NASS-GES (Weighed)

Number of Pedestrians with Tibia Fracture by Injury Severity

Injury	16YO aı	nd older	0-15YO		
Severity	US	JPN	US	JPN	
Fatal	1,248	177	90	4	
Severe	4,293	1,306	533	248	
Minor	0	0	0	0	

Estimation of Cost Reduction due to Tibia Fracture Mitigation REVISED relative to GTR9-2-07r1

- Estimated Annual Cost due to Tibia Fracture -

Number of Pedestrians with Tibia Fracture by Injury Severity

Injury	16 YO and older			
Severity	US	JPN		
Fatal	1248	177		
Severe	4293	1306		
Minor	0	0		



Cost per Case

	Economic	_	Comprehensive
Country	Cost	Consequences	Cost
US	\$169,646	\$123,008	\$292,654
JPN	\$24,650	N/A	N/A

JPN: Economic Cost includes Human Cost only

Annual Cost due to Tibia Fracture

Country	Economic Cost	Intangible Consequences	Comprehensive Cost	
US	\$940,008,486	\$681,587,328	\$1,621,595,814	
JPN	\$36,555,950	N/A	N/A	

JPN: Economic Cost includes Human Cost only

2. Estimation of Cost Reduction due to **REVISED** relative Tibia Fracture Mitigation

to GTR9-2-07r1

- Estimated Annual Cost Reduction -

Annual Cost due to Tibia Fracture

Country	Economic Cost	Intangible Consequences	Comprehensive Cost	
US	\$940,008,486	\$681,587,328	\$1,621,595,814	
JPN	\$36,555,950	N/A	N/A	

JPN: Economic Cost includes Human Cost only

Coverage Increase by Introducing FlexPLI

> Protection Level

- Coverage increase relative to TRL legform
- Otte et al. (2007): Tibia fracture due to indirect loading = 82.5%
- Protection Level by complying with injury thresholds that correspond to 30% injury probability

Annual Cost Reduction from Tibia Fracture Mitigation by Introducing FlexPLI

Country	Economic Cost	Intangible Consequences	Comprehensive Cost	
US	\$542,854,901	\$393,616,682	\$936,471,583	
JPN	\$21,111,061	N/A	N/A	

JPN: Economic Cost includes Human Cost only

2. Estimation of Cost Reduction due to Tibia Fracture Mitigation REVISED relative to GTR9-2-07r1

- Comparison with Previous Results -

	Cost per Case				# Ped Ann.		Cooff	Donofit		
	Human	Property	Company	Public	Intangible	Total	w/Tib Fx	Cost Tib Fx	Coeff.	Benefit
JPN GTR9-1- 07r1	\$44,684	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$44,684	1970	\$88M	0.825 *0.7	\$51M
JPN GTR9-2- 07r1	\$24,650	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$24,650	1483	\$37M	0.825 *0.7	\$21M
US GTR9-1- 07r1	\$44,684	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included	\$44,684	3847	\$172M	0.825 *0.7	\$99M
US GTR9-2- 07r1	\$126,464	\$6,407	\$3,947	\$32,828	\$123,008	\$292,654	3847	\$1,126M	0.825 *0.7	\$650M
US Revised	\$126,464	\$6,407	\$3,947	\$32,828	\$123,008	\$292,654	5541	\$1,622M	0.825 *0.7	\$936M