

Estimating the economic

impact of transports





sentation Outline

Introduction

> Why to estimate the economic impact?

Modelling framework

- > Taxonomy and Analysis objectives and s
- > Assumptions and key definitions
- > Key outputs results

Case studies

> Greek transport industry and projects

Key conclusions







DUTh/Economics Department

cision making and Transport economics laboratory

it

- 6 professionals (2+4 professors)
- 6 PhD candidates
- 10 MSc MBA graduates

search interest on

- Transport Economics and project financing
- Transport Business, Management and Decision making,
- (Management, Strategy, B.R.)
- Methodological tools (O.R., M.I.S.-D.S.S., Economic Spatial and Big-

Research

- European Research Frameworks
- Transport Industry
- Authorities
- Institutions, Associations
- Consulting
 - Transport Authorities (Europe, MENA)
 - Transport Industry
- Publications (2013-2016)
 - 20 papers
 - ► 3 reports

rld Transport Infrastructure Investment needs 9-2030



finitions on Transport Infrastructure Economic Assessment

stment in transport infrastructures is one of the main preconditions bling countries to accelerate or sustain the pace of their oment and achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) (*set ted Nations in 2000*).

tract a lot of interest because of substantial impacts on munities, economy, and business development

sport infrastructures are crucial infrastructures that boost economic oment (*Esfahani & Ramirez, 2003; Phang, 2003; Sanchez-Robles, Shah, 1992; Short & Kopp, 2005; Wang, 2002; WDR, 1994*)

decision making involved politics, planners, economists, lators, investors, and, almost, every side of society, (*Guangshe et* 2011).

sion makers consider economic development in project evaluation this as a key decision criterion in their long range plans. *rod and Gupta 2003*).

he decision may lead from some days to some years depends on







ransport Infrastructure projects

e funding process of the transport infrastructure projects s as a Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) for the national phomy, providing new business opportunities, motivation d better performance (*Estache 2006, Sahoo and Dash 2009*)

tional, supranational government, private capital and velopment banks have supported a sharp increase in the agnitude and frequency of infrastructure projects, osseini H.2005)

cision makers have associated improvements in the siness with greater inflows of FDIs in major infrastructure ojects, (*World Bank, 2013*).

veral investment projects in transit systems have been dertaken with an explicit goal of economic development schauer 2000



ransport Infrastructure funding



e reality of today in increasingly more uncertain times refirmed by recent developments associated with the increasingly er-dependent multidimensional global economic crises

ne longstanding crisis of world poverty (Hollander, 2003),

ne growing food production crisis (The Observer, 2008a; 2008b),

ne declining availability of global energy resources (Pfeiffer, 2007),

he climate change induced global warming (Stern, 2007), and

he global finance liquidity crisis (Porter, 2005).

jor public infrastructure procurement through concession tracts was booming before financial and credit crisis (World k, 2013)

e recession increased the demand for concession contracts from ernments as it is seen as a way to continue building transport



ey questions in strategic planning and ecision making



conomic Impact Assessment Methods



ECONOMIC ASSESMENT METHODS



COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

- oports clarification of the aim of the ct; estimate what will happen if the ct is undertaken, and what will en if it is not;
- *aluate* whether the proposed project best option available;
- *ntify* whether components of the ct are the most efficient;
- aluate whether the project is cially sustainable; (payback, NPV, **Risk**s;
- *vide* an informed view to decisioners as to whether the project is while for society.







onomic impact

Types of Impact

DIRECT

Generated by firms which will construct and operate the transportation infrastructure

• INDIRECT

Generated by wider supply-chain firms purchasing goods and services from nation-based suppliers, in turn generating output, profits and employment among suppliers

INDUCED

Recycling of Euros as a result of spending from direct and indirect

CATALYTIC IMPACT

ECONOMIC IMPACT: 2 ANALYSIS FRAMEWORKS



Based on the measuring the flow of expenditures around the economy



Analysis by the sectors of economy



Provide information regarding the distribution of impacts per economic activity

MPUTABLE GENERAL

- e complicated to data collection
- dels interconnectedness of
- , institutions, factors, and
- rket transactions
- licitly accounts for price changes
- ntains explicit supply constraints

INPUT OUTPUT

- High level of confidence in results
- Models interconnectedness of sectors and market transactions
- No correlation with the price elasticity and changes
- Demand driven

ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS – MULTIPLIERS

tipliers Concept

onomic Impact measures how a change in income or employment ne sector flows around to all other sectors

ompare ratio of income from a counterfactual (policy or shock) to al data

→ *Direct:* affect on immediate sector or industry

Indirect: affect on upstream or downstream sectors from direct sector

Induced: affect on secondary sectors

t economic impact can be expressed as a multiplier

ct+Indirect+Induced = x

each 1Euro (or 1%) change in direct sector, the total economic

Case studies

Airports

- AIA
- Kastelli Crete inland

Sea ports

- Piraeus
- Thessaloniki

/lotorways

5 PPPs motorway project in Greece

CASE STUDIES I – IO AIA

Total Economic Impact on Greece

dded Value 5.1 bn ro

3% of Greek GDP

,987 Jobs

5% Employment of





OST BENEFIT ANALYSIS - NEW AIRPORT IN CRETE



Reallocation of a regional tourist airport that has reached its capacity



AIRPORT INFRASTRUCTURE	EXISTING AIRPORT	NEW AIRPORT
Terminal area (sq. meters)	41.800	70.000
Number of runways	1	1
Length of runway (meters)	2,680	3,800
	1.0	

COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS-NEW AIRPORT IN CRETE



Determine the evaluation Period



IO ANALYSIS-NEW AIRPORT IN CRETE

IO ANALYSIS-NEW AIRPORT IN CRETE



IO ANALYSIS-AIR TRANSPORT IN CRETE



IO ANALYSIS – AIR TRANSPORT IN CYPRUS



SECTOR IMPACT ANALYSIS – CRETE ISLAND

Economic sectors with the higher multiplier	S
ors	Multipliers
lucts of agriculture, hunting and related services	1,65
de petroleum and natural gas; services oil and gas extraction	1,66
d products and beverages	1,55
e, refined petroleum products	2,05 🔶
micals, chemical products and man-made fibres	1,75
c metals	1,55
trical energy, gas, steam and hot water	2,10 🔶
struction work	1,50
lesale trade, commission trade services, vehicles, motorcycles	2,05 🔶
el and restaurant services	1,85
nal – regional transport services (public transports, taxi, etc)	1,55
and Telecommunication services	1,55
Estate	2,10 🔶
ist services (agents, etc)	2,20 🔶

VIATION ECONOMIC IMPACT IN GREECE (2007-2014)



cation – Major Greek Ports-Piraeus Port



or Greek Ports – Thessaloniki Port

FINANCIALS (2013)Revenue51.56 Mio Euroating Costs18.4 Mio Euror costs (except staff costs) in the "Third party" and Utilities"8.4 Mio Euroassets164 Mio Euro



I Impact Port Thessaloniki

	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Catalytic	Total Impact	%Regional	%Greece
es	627	547	547	1174	2348	0,92%	0,07%
, -	26,7 Mio €	12,7 Mio €	12,7Mio€	39 Mio €	78Mio €	GDP 0,45%	GDP .05%
ed						GVA 0,52%	GVA .04%

	(TYPE I MULTIPLIER)	(TYPE II MULTIPLIER)
TOTAL-INDUCED	TOTAL-INDUCED/DIRECT EFFECTS	TOTAL/DIRECT
1.174	2,15	4,29
	NO INDUCED EFFECTS	ALL INDUCED EFFECTS
	EMPLOYEES	EMPLOYEES
	925	1.850
	(TYPE I MULTIPLIER)	(TYPE II MULTIPLIER)
TOTAL-INDUCED	TOTAL-INDUCED/DIRECT EFFECT:	S TOTAL/DIRECT
38928825,7	1,45	2,91
	NO INDUCED EFFECTS	ALL INDUCED EFFECTS

I Impact Port Piraeus



	Direct	Indirect	Induced	Catalytic	Total Impact	%Regional	%Greece
/ees	2.096	5.913	8.009	497.167	513.18 5	17,5%	12,3%
ne – dded	101 Mio€	132 Mio €	232 Mio €	3.590 Mio €	4.055 Mio €	GDP 4,3%	GDP 2,2%
						GVA 4.6%	GVA 2.6%

	(TYPE I MULTIPLIER)	(TYPE II MULTIPLIER)
TOTAL-INDUCED	TOTAL- INDUCED/DIRECT EFFECTS	TOTAL/DIRECT
232 Mio €	1,76	3,53
	NO INDUCED EFFECTS	ALL INDUCED EFFECTS
	INCOME	INCOME
	122 Mio €	244 Mio €

se study-Application

orways are part of the priority of the TEN-T network, which Greece to the rest of the EU.



JROPEAN TRANSPORT NETWORK



ON MOTORWAYS PROJECT	Cost (billion €)	Length to be constructed	Length to be upgraded	Length to be operated
ODOS	1.00	196	172	360
N MOTORWAY	0.95	25	205	230
	1.40	284	82	366
	1 37	175		222

essment Outcomes (T1,T2)

Employment (in full-time equivalent jobs)					
	Year	Direct impacts	Total Impacts		
Construction	Phase I	3,400	11,300		
period-T1	Phase II	13,600	45,200		
	Phase III	17,000	56,500		
Operation	t=1	1,000	13,200		
period –T2	t=2	1,000	18,200		
	t=3	1,000	23,200		



Income(in million €)					
	Year	Direct impacts	Total Impacts		
Construction	Phase I	68.0	226.0		
period-T1	Phase II	279.9	930.2		
	Phase III	362.8	1205.7		
Operation	t=1	22.0	290.4		
period –T2	t=2	22.7	413.1		



ARIOS AND MODELLING

enarios and Modelling Assumptions



CONCLUDING REMARKS

- analysis framework depends on the research objective
- BA Project financing
- GE Business productivity into regional/national economy model
-) Socioeconomic impact assessment

port decisions on tourist regions as ''demand accommodators'' deals

- ew investments Infrastructure expansion projects (CBA)
- oductivity and competition for a sector of economy Tourism and ansport (CGE)
- stimate socioeconomic impact Jobs and Income (IO)

st of the cases need a combination of methods etermine effects

ofing relationship

KINHG AHEAD

esearch

- Welfare and Social return
- Adjusting modelling assumptions
- esearch community
 - Compare with other cases
 - Compare to other investments
- rofessional bodies
- Feeding strategic plans
- Inform market and investors





Estimating the economic impact of transports



any question...

For more details

ddimitri@econ.duth.gr

Dimitrios J. DIMITRIOU