

Informal Working Group on Recreational Navigation
Draft proposal for a questionnaire for competent authorities
Transmitted by EMERCOM, the Russian Federation

1. Is the procedure described in resolution No. 40 sufficient for regulatory purposes?
 2. Is there a need for additional provisions related to medical fitness for applicants?
 3. Is there a need for additional provisions related to technical fitness of pleasure craft?
 4. Is there a need for additional provisions for examinations: a minimum number of questions for a theoretical knowledge test and exercises for practical tests?
 5. Would a list of sample questions and exercises for CEVNI knowledge tests and a methodology for its use be helpful for competent authorities? Would you use it for examination purposes?
 6. Is there a need for a introducing a harmonized classification of pleasure craft by:
 - (a) the type of propulsion;
 - (b) areas of navigation (depending on the navigation rules)?
 7. Is there a need for additional provisions concerning the right to fly the flag?
 8. Is there a need for introducing unified forms for documents other than ICC?
 9. Is there a need for additional provisions for functioning, equipment etc. of ports and marinas for pleasure craft?
 10. Can you propose other questions that, from the point of view of a competent authority, could promote recreational navigation?
-