Group of Experts on Migration Statistics 07 - 08 May 2024

Session "Results on migration from the 2020 population census round"

- Intensive migration (in/external) all over the world, critical for demographic analysis, planning, res.allocation, impacts the living standards → qualitative & quantitative data needed
- Census source for migration (internal , international migrants residing in census countries) scale, stock, pattern – change over decade
- migration movements between the CIS member states
 - (yearly) about 8 million movements made in connection with temporary labour migration
 - about 700,000 people change their place of permanent residence
- Accounting for migration one of the most challenging, problematic, differently addressed → differences in census results vs current pop.stat. → revisions
- Postponing because of Covid-19
- Over 80% of CIS population enumerated (212 million), Belarus in 2019, Armenia
- Internal/external migration directed to capitals/urban settlements (more opportunities)

2020 Round of population censuses: migration of the population in CIS countries

- Indicators to measure migration <u>agreed</u> and <u>compliant</u> to recommendations
 - permanent location (or place of usual residence)
 - location at the time of the census
 - country of birth (place of birth)
 - country of citizenship (citizenship)
 - length of stay in a given place
 - former place of residence
- <u>8 CIS countries</u> carried out the census

- + temporary absentees/ stayers
 + intention to leave, departure
 duration
 + registration at permanent
 residence (ADS)
- Self-enumeration/Internet (16%), CAPI/admin.data (84%) traditional & combined methods
- Population <u>increase</u> Azerbaijan (+11,5%), Kazakhstan (+19,8%), Kyrgyzstan (+29,3%), Russia (+3,0%), Tajikistan (+27,7%), Turkmenistan (+59,0%), Population <u>decrease</u> Armenia (-3,0%), Belarus (-1,0%)
- <u>Retrospective recalculation</u> of migration, Russia (higher that before the recount)
- In distribution of population <u>by citizenship</u>: hold the citizenship of other country 0.1-1.7% (Belarus, Armenia), Hold dual citizenship- 0.1/Russia, 0.5/Tajikistan, 1.4/Armenia, No citizenship 0-0.1 (Belarus, Kyrgyzstan)
- <u>Living continuously not since birth</u> 46.5%/Belarus, 33.0%/Russia vs 7.1%/Tajikistan (of them 20% came from abroad)
- <u>Main reason</u> for being temporary absent from the country of census labour migration, predominantly among CIS countries

2019 Census of Population of the Republic of Belarus: Migration and Ethno-cultural Characteristics

- <u>Combined method</u> of data collection, using modern ICT
- <u>Expanded</u> block of questions on migration and labour force
- A separate questionnaire on temporary present persons
- <u>Internal</u> migration exceeding significantly the <u>external</u> migration
- The <u>most mobile</u> working-age population
- Planning to leave the country for the <u>first time asked</u> (2% population)

Measuring Migration during the 2022 Population Census of the Republic of Armenia

- <u>Combined method</u> carried out as a **register-based** census combined (Population register, Border crossings) with a 25% **sample survey**
- Information System ensuring <u>combination of data</u> from register with data collected from the households living at the selected addresses
- Interoperability platform receiving <u>real time data</u> from admin.sources → registered population, current population and <u>permanent</u> population available to obtain in real time
- Foreigners temporary and permanently living in the country (<12 m and >12 m)
- Data on foreign-born population, foreign citizens among usual resident, stock of international migrants in the permanent population

Census 2021 to understand international migration in **England and Wales** during 2012-2023

- <u>Census</u> basic variables Country of birth, passports, year of arrival and intention to stay
- <u>Migration statistics</u> based on admin.data visa data, taxes and benefits, International Passenger Survey (intention) = i(e)migration
- Census vs migration statistics <u>allows</u> to:

→ identify both long-term and short-term migrants, socio-econ. characteristics vs wider population, insights on international students and dual citizens

- \rightarrow quality of yearly IM estimates across the decade (2012 to 2021)
- \rightarrow refine new methods for estimating IM using admin. data, revisions \rightarrow **CONFIDENCE in admin.data**

Data analysis:

- born outside the UK <u>increased</u>, most held a non-UK passport
- Long-term IM Insights on geographic distribution, economic status (employment), industries of employment, social, ethnic, language, family, accommodation <u>characteristics</u>
- Short-term IM (arrived <12 months, intended to stay 3-12 months) – similar characteristics, EU-born short-term residents - decreased
- International students 1/3 in employment, highest % from Eastern Europe
- Dual citizens passport held data (2.1% usual residents multiple passports)

Session "Results on migration from the 2020 population census round" - QUESTIONS TO PRESENTERS

2020 Round of population censuses: migration of the population in CIS countries	2019 Census of Population of the Republic of <mark>Belarus</mark> : Migration and Ethno-cultural Characteristics
Q: having in mind the analysis of 8 countries census results, what are the <u>lessons to be</u> <u>learned</u> and what would be CIS-Stat recommendations for further improvement of migration measurement in the region?	Q: out of the big list of questions on migration in the census questionnaire, which (in particular the <u>newly added</u>) turned to be the <u>most useful</u> and which you <u>would reconsider</u> for the next round?
Measuring Migration during the 2022 Population Census of the Republic of	Using Census 2021 to understand international migration in England and Wales,2012-2023 (UK)
Armenia Q: Was the <u>sample</u> extracted for the survey on 25% of the addresses enough to collect qualitative and representative data for all the characteristics of the census program?	Q: Based on the comparative analysis of census and admin.data, which of the analysed sub- groups of migrants (British nationals, EU nationals, non-EU nationals) needs a bigger/further effort on method improvement?

Q: In the next census, what would you do differently or would like to explore/focus in a particular way?